



Georgia Courts Automation Commission

Business Strategic Plan

March 25 – March 27, 2008

DRAFT



Contents

➤ Executive Summary	3
➤ Approach Overview	5
➤ GCAC Interactions	6
➤ Internal/External Influencing Factors	7
➤ Strategic Objectives of GCAC	8
➤ Prioritization Considerations	9
➤ Strategy Articulation Map Development	10
➤ Strategy Articulation Map	24
➤ Next Steps	25
➤ Future Topics for Discussion and Consideration	28
➤ Appendix A - Attendees	30
➤ Appendix B - Initiative Summaries	31



Executive Summary


The GCAC began the Strategic Planning process in 2004 by developing a strategic plan focused on building a strong foundation for GCAC to deliver against its legislative charter. The Strategic Plan was updated in 2006 and 2007 to account for plan accomplishments and adjustments to the plan. In a facilitated session held in March 2008, GCAC Leadership team met to:

- Confirm progress against the current Strategic Plan;
- Gain an understanding of GCAC's priorities for the coming years;
- Create a revised Strategic Plan to account for progress and shifts in priorities;
- Establish a strategic map for the GCAC organization in a format consistent with the other courts' Business and IT Strategic Plans; and
- Align and prioritize its services and programs with the strategic map.

With the completion of this effort, a Strategic Vision and Map for 2008 through 2010 was developed that will enable the GCAC to prioritize and deliver the direction and services that will best support the court automation process across the judicial system of Georgia.

Additionally, an action plan has been created to outline the next steps required for the GCAC to begin to implement this vision across the Judiciary.

The Strategic Vision and Map is presented on the following slide.





Approach Overview

The GCAC began the Strategic Planning process in 2004 by developing a strategic plan focused on building a strong foundation for GCAC to deliver against its legislative charter. In developing the 2008 Strategic Plan, GCAC analyzed its legislative charter, the Judiciary-wide Strategic IT plan, and the current GCAC business plan to develop an updated business plan to guide GCAC over the next several years.

Using facilitators to guide the information gathering process, the Commission's Leadership team met in a three day off-site session to focus on:

- Confirming progress against the current Strategic Plan;
 - Gaining an understanding of GCAC's priorities for the coming years;
 - Creating an updated Strategic Plan to account for progress and shifts in priorities;
 - Establishing a strategic map for the GCAC organization in a format consistent with all of the courts' Business and IT Strategic Plans; and
 - Aligning and prioritizing its services and programs with the strategic map.
- **Note:** See Appendix A for attendees list.




GCAC Interactions

The GCAC is impacted by and interacts with a broad range of organizations including:

Suppliers (Influencers)

1. Supreme Court
2. Judges Councils
3. Vendors
4. AOC
5. National Center for State Courts (NCSC)
6. Legislature
7. GSCCCA
8. Governor
9. GCAC Advisory Council
10. Prosecuting Attorneys Council/
Indigent Defense Council
11. Federal Government
12. Executive Branch Agencies
(DOC, DPP, DJJ, DDS, DHR,
SOS, GOHS, GTA, DOT, etc.)



- Projects
- Policies
- Communications

Customers (Beneficiaries)

1. Supreme Court
2. Judges Councils
3. Vendors
4. AOC
5. National Center for State Courts (NCSC)
6. Legislature
7. GSCCCA
8. Governor
9. GCAC Advisory Council
10. Prosecuting Attorneys Council/
Indigent Defense Council
11. Federal Government
12. Executive Branch Agencies
(DOC, DPP, DJJ, DDS, DHR,
SOS, GOHS, GTA, DOT, etc.)
13. Citizens



Internal/External Influencing Factors

The group discussed the factors, both internal and external to the GCAC, that will have an influence on the prioritization and implementation of the initiatives in its Strategic Plan. The following influencers were identified:

- **Federal Government** – Mandates; Standards (e.g. National Information Exchange Model); Impact to federal highway funds; Post 9/11 information needs; Grants and funding
- **State Agencies** – Standards; Support; Buy-in
- **General Assembly** – Buy-in; Funding; Pending legislation to fund automation
- **Local County Leadership** – Buy-in; ACCG and GMA alliances; Impact to local revenue
- **Supreme Court** – Leadership/Guidance; Buy-in to vision and alignment with priorities
- **Classes of Courts and Judicial Council** – Support; Leadership; Impact to priorities
- **Clerks Authority** – Potential opposition; Potential collaboration
- **Vendors** – Compliance; Potential for support and alliance; Support for and creation of the business case; Communication of business principles as applicable to the courts
- **Governor** – Influence with Senate and Criminal Justice Coordinating Council (i.e. ability to influence/direct Federal funds); Funding; Support and influence



Strategic Objectives of GCAC

Further discussion recapped the past accomplishments and identified future initiatives of interest to the Commission and its customers. These initiatives were subdivided into specific areas of interest that aligned to what would become the GCAC Strategic Objectives to:

- **Establish and Administer Judicial IT Governance**
- **Obtain Adequate Funding to Meet Objectives**
- **Communicate and Educate Internal and External Stakeholders**

The initiatives were compared to the legislative charter of GCAC and the Judiciary-wide IT Plan to ensure consistency of the goals with both the charter and plan.



Prioritization Considerations

In order to prioritize the initiatives identified by the GCAC within each Objective group, the participants first had to agree on the key drivers behind the priorities. The following criteria was established and adopted by the group:

1. **High:** Must Do Now (Need to Implement)
2. **Medium:** Can Do Now (Resources and Capability Available)
3. **Low:** Cannot Do Now (Resource/Maturity Limitations)



Strategy Articulation Map Development

Once a common understanding of high-level priorities and needs was established amongst the group, the focus shifted to developing the plan or “roadmap” for GCAC. The development of each component of the map incorporated feedback from the entire group.

The activities included in developing the components of the Strategy Articulation Map included revising and/or defining a:

- **Mission Statement** – Why are we here?
- **Vision** – What do we aspire to?
- **Guiding Principles** - How will we operate and what rules guidelines will we use?
- **Unique Attributes** – Points to remember that guide our work.
- **Themes** – Catch phrase/Slogans.
- **Strategic Objectives** – Groupings of what we want to do.
- **Key Initiatives** – Projects or major activities to be done.
- **Measures of Success** – How do we measure the success of our objectives?

The following slides contain the results of the group discussion in each of the above areas. These points were individually agreed upon by the group in order to confirm that consensus among the group was achieved.



GCAC Mission

Mission

To govern the planning, automation and sharing of information to benefit the justice system and citizens of Georgia.



GCAC Vision

Vision

Better Information

Better Decisions

Truer Justice



GCAC Guiding Principles

Guiding Principles

- Meet strategic objectives within the boundaries of our legislative charter
- Ethically apply best business practices
- Open and honest communication
- Maintain the independence and integrity of the court systems
- Applicable statewide
- Collaborative approach driven by grass-root priorities
- Produce measurable results and outcomes



Unique Attributes of the GCAC

Unique Attributes

- Representative of Each Class of Court and Judicial Stakeholders
- Responsible for System-wide Court Technology
- Court-based Technology Policy and Program Resource
- Statutory Responsibility for Judicial Technology



Key Initiatives for GCAC

Next, using the previously defined prioritization process, the inventory of initiatives that the group thought was needed over the next 2-3 years was subdivided to align with specific Strategic Objectives and then prioritized within each area.

An evaluation of progress against each initiative will be performed as part of the periodic update of the Strategic Plan.



Prioritized Key Initiatives – Establish and Administer Judicial IT Governance

High:

- Advise Executive and Judicial agencies on judicial requirements and impacts of their projects
- Define judicial requirements and impacts for Executive and Judicial agency projects that impact the judiciary
- Define and implement governance structure as defined by the Judiciary Wide Plan
- Manage and coordinate implementation of the Judiciary Wide Plan
- Advocate Judicial Council rules implementation and Judicial Council business plan development
- Define big picture roadmap
- Perform technology review role for Judicial Branch
- Pass rule for Data Definitions usage and have Councils adopt and Supreme Court to validate
- Define statewide courts automation system (white paper)
- Define network (white paper)
- Define rule making authority (white paper)
- Funds distribution rules (white paper)
- Update Council IT Strategic Plans
- Perform civil filing and disposition statutory role
- Re-engage full membership of GCAC (engage Chief Justice to fill vacancies)
- Advocate and implement integrated business planning methodology across all Councils
- Perform select initiatives from within Judiciary-wide IT Plan
- Maintain Data Definitions

Medium

- Alignment of statute with initiatives and strategy
- Develop Judicial Council and Legislative advisory capacity to establish procedures and rules to implement technology review process

Low

- Offer audit and consulting services to counties
- Develop Data Dictionary management tools



Prioritized Key Initiatives – Obtain Adequate Funding to Meet Objectives

High:

- Build Legislative and Executive branch program/budget benefit Communication Plan
- Identify and apply for grants

Medium:

- Define accomplishments and impacts/benefits to justify funding
- Explore State Bar funding and support
- Explore Supreme Court funding for initiatives
- Explore collaborative funding with state agencies



Prioritized Key Initiatives – Communicate and Educate Internal and External Stakeholders

High:

- Build Legislative and Executive branch program/budget benefit Communication Plan
- Publish and distribute whitepapers
- Engage Advisory Council
- Advocate business planning to Judicial Council and Court Council
- Increase dialog between agencies and courts (DDS,DHR, DJJ, GBI, GCIC)
- Knowledge base expansion (wiki)
- Contribute to continuing education internal to the judiciary
- Contribute and conduct continuing education activities external to the judiciary

Medium:

- Advise Executive and Judicial agencies on judicial requirements/impacts of their projects
- 2010 platform components
- Coordinate and collaborate with GTA/CIO's

Low:

- Present at CTC11 (September 2009)
- Provide forum for community of interest discussions on judicial priorities



Defining Success

Next, the group discussed how it would track and measure success of the objectives resulting in the following measurement groups:

Governance

- Adoption and Implementation
- Standards

Funding

- Funding
- Benefits

Communicate and Educate

- Information Sharing
- Education



Measures of Success (Targets) – Establish and Administer Judicial IT Governance

Measure	Near Term	Medium Term	Long Term
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Adoption and Implementation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • % of statutory responsibilities fulfilled • Number of initiatives completed from Judiciary-wide plan • Superior Clerk participation on Commission • Commission subcommittees appointed and functioning • White papers published (3-5) • Legislative budget • # of IT project reviews • # of legislative consultations • # Executive Agency MOU's (1) • Big picture roadmap completed • # of rules and procedures adopted • # stakeholders complying with rules and procedures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase % of statutory responsibilities fulfilled • Number of initiatives completed from Judiciary-wide plan • Recognized authority by other branches and Judicial Council • Legislative budget • # of IT project reviews • # of legislative consultations • # Executive Agency MOU's (3) • Big picture roadmap maintained • # of rules adopted • Increased # stakeholders complying with rules and procedures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% of statutory responsibilities fulfilled • Number of initiatives completed from Judiciary-wide plan • # of IT project reviews • # of legislative consultations • # Executive Agency MOU's (All) • Big picture roadmap maintained • # stakeholders complying with rules and procedures (All)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of stakeholders adopting standards or using artifacts • White papers published (1-2) • Big picture roadmap maintained 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in # of stakeholders adopting standards or using artifacts • Present at CTC11 • Big picture roadmap updated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • # of Stakeholders adopting standards or using artifacts • Big picture roadmap updated



Measures of Success (Targets) – Obtain Adequate Funding to Meet Objectives

Measure	Near Term	Medium Term	Long Term
➤ Funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of grants identified, filed and awarded • Funding sources and programs identified • Funding source viability analysis completed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of grants identified, filed and awarded • Acquisition of joint (GCAC/stakeholder) funding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of grants identified, filed and awarded • Acquisition of joint funding
➤ Benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget benefit and communication plan created • Number of testimonials received (8) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of testimonials received (15) • # agencies and amount of funding and initiatives conforming to plan • ROI/Benefit analysis of GCAC and agency initiatives completed and used to support budget process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased # agencies and percentage amount of funding and initiatives conforming to plan • ROI/Benefit analysis of GCAC and agency initiatives completed and used to support budget process




Measures of Success (Targets) – Communicate and Educate Internal and External Stakeholders

Measure	Near Term	Medium Term	Long Term
➤ Information Sharing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> List of stakeholder publications and contacts Number of GCAC provided articles published by stakeholders GCAC branded knowledge base (wiki) established Baseline measures established Number of council meetings attended/presented # of Council IT plans updated (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of GCAC provided articles published by stakeholders Increased # of knowledge base users and traffic Number of Council meetings attended/presented # agencies seeking GCAC services Stakeholder support of Judiciary-wide IT Plan # of Councils actively managing their plans (6) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of Council meetings attended/presented Increased # agencies seeking GCAC services # of Councils actively managing their plans
➤ Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attendance at GCAC sponsored events # of students attending training # of classes conducted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased attendance at GCAC sponsored events Increased # of students attending training # of classes conducted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attendance at GCAC sponsored events # of students attending training # of classes conducted



GCAC Strategy Articulation Map

Now that each of the components of the Strategy Articulation Map have been developed and agreed upon, the map outlining the strategy for the GCAC for the next 2-3 years was developed and is provided on the following page.





Next Steps

Future Use of Plan:

- Communication tool to internal and external stakeholders
- Checklist of initiatives to guide day-to-day activities of GCAC
- Integrate with Council and Judiciary-wide IT Strategic Plans

Approval of Plan:

- GCAC

Stakeholder Group:

- Owner: GCAC
- External: AOC, Judicial Council, Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, Trial Court Councils

Ongoing Maintenance

- Update Frequency: Measures reviewed quarterly; Revision bi-annually



Next Steps

Task	Owner	Due Date
Appoint goal managers	GCAC	March, 08
Appoint subcommittees (GSC – Governance, FSC – Funding, CSC – Communication and Education)	GCAC	March, 08
Attend Superior Court Executive Committee meeting and present integrated planning approach	Smith, J. Harris	April, 08
Establish June Judicial Council internal education agenda and invitees	Nolan	April, 08
Attend Probate Council meeting and present planning process and Judiciary-wide Strategic IT Plan	Van Horn, Nolan	April, 08
Court Administrators (GCCA)	Nolan	April, 08
Attend Municipal Council meeting and secure motion on Judiciary-wide Strategic IT Plan	Strickland, Cielinski	April, 08
Attend Magistrate Council meeting	Kidwell	April, 08
Message to Chief Justice requesting Clerk participation	V. Harris	April, 08
Identify white paper topics	GSC	April, 08
Legislative budget debrief	Pape	May, 08

Note: GSC – Governance Subcommittee , FSC – Funding Subcommittee , CSC – Communication and Education Subcommittee



Next Steps (Con't)

Task	Owner	Due Date
Attend Juvenile Council Meeting and present planning process and Judiciary-wide Strategic IT Plan	Shearer, Pape	May, 08
Attend State Council Meeting and present planning process and Judiciary-wide Strategic IT Plan	Iannazzone, Nolan	May, 08
Create Advisory Group invite and agenda for August GCAC meeting	Nolan	May, 08
Establish initiatives implementation plan by goal	GSC, FSC, CSC	June, 08
Establish baseline communication measures	CSC, AOC	June, 08
Create stakeholders project inventory	Nolan	June, 08
Complete Program Impact Statement	Nolan	June, 08
Judicial Council meeting	Smith, Pape	June, 08
Develop legislative communication plan	Nolan/Pape/NH	June, 08
Superior Council meeting	J. Harris, Smith, Nolan	Jul, 08
GCAC August meeting	GCAC	Aug, 08
Develop white papers to support budget	GSC	Sep, 08

Note: GSC – Governance Subcommittee , FSC – Funding Subcommittee , CSC – Communication and Education Subcommittee



Future Topics for Discussion and Consideration

The following points are additional suggestions and recommended topics for discussion/consideration by the GCAC:

- Keep balance between Strategic Objectives to progress in all areas.
- Allocate resources across Strategic Objectives.
- Develop process for ongoing prioritization and reviews (Portfolio Management).
- Define standards and architectures that will rollup to key initiatives to help support vision.
- Refine measures (internal and external) on a regularly defined basis.



Appendices

Appendices



Appendix A - Participants

The following individuals participated in the three day facilitated session:

- Tim Pape (Chairman)
- Jackson Harris
- George Nolan
- Ken Van Horn
- Sammy Ozburn
- David Strickland
- Rucker Smith
- Betsy Kidwell
- Russ McClelland
- Jorge Basto
- Vince Harris
- Byron Branch

Facilitators:

- Jim Poulakos
- Steve Hagan



Appendix B – Initiative Summary

Establish and Administer Judicial IT Governance

The following descriptions serve to elaborate on the various initiatives associated with a specific goal.

- **Advise Executive and Judicial agencies on judicial requirements and impacts of their projects**
- **Define judicial requirements and impacts for Executive and Judicial agency projects that impact the judiciary**
 - GCAC will be responsible for defining judicial requirements to agencies or judicial stakeholders that are considering or performing projects that impact the judiciary.
- **Define and implement governance structure as defined by the Judiciary-wide Plan**
 - The Planning Group for the Judiciary-wide Strategic IT Plan recognized the need for an entity to assume management responsibility of the Judiciary-wide plan. GCAC has been asked to assume interim management responsibility of the plan and to implement a long term governance model based on the recommendations contained in the Judiciary-wide Plan.
- **Manage and coordinate implementation of the Judiciary-wide Plan**
 - In this role, GCAC will work with the planning group to prioritize judiciary-wide plan initiatives and execute against those initiatives. The proposed FY08 fiscal plan allocates funding to support the execution of select initiatives.
- **Advocate Judicial Council rules implementation and Judicial Council business plan development**
 - GCAC will advocate that the Judicial Council create a Judiciary-wide business plan to support the integrated planning process and that the council adopt the necessary rules to support the GCAC mission.
- **Define “Big Picture” roadmap**
 - A picture and/or white paper is necessary to clearly define the GCAC vision and initiatives. The roadmap will be used to communicate with the stakeholders and will address all strategic goals.



Appendix B – Initiative Summary

Establish and Administer Judicial IT Governance Con't

The following descriptions serve to elaborate on the various initiatives associated with a specific goal.

- **Perform technology review role for Judicial Branch**
 - In order to ensure that technology initiatives align with the judicial priorities and integrate with the Judiciary-wide IT Plan, GCAC will perform a technology review and assessment of projects that impact the judiciary.
- **Pass rule for Data Definitions usage and have councils adopt and Supreme Court to validate**
 - It is the desire of GCAC to pass a rule that appropriately positions the Data Definitions and their use by the Councils.
- **Define statewide courts automation system (white paper)**
 - A formal definition of the statewide courts automation system as detailed in GCAC's enabling legislation will be developed and communicated to the stakeholders. (15-5-82 A.1)
- **Define network (White paper)**
 - A formal definition of the network as detailed in GCAC's enabling legislation will be developed and communicated to the stakeholders. (15-5-82 A.8)
- **Define rule making authority (White Paper)**
 - GCAC will analyze its rule making authority and the scope of that rule making authority. (15-5-82 A.8)
- **Funds distribution rules (White Paper)**
 - GCAC will seek to create a fund distribution model to support the distribution of funds made available to GCAC as per code section 15-5-82 A.6.
- **Update Council IT plans**
 - This initiative accounts for the update of Council IT plans every two years.
- **Perform civil filing and disposition statutory role**
 - Statutory initiative defined in GCAC's enabling legislation (15-5-82 A.4)
- **Re-engage full membership of GCAC (engage Chief Justice to fill vacancies)**
 - GCAC desires to operate with it full membership and will request the Chief Justice to appoint a Superior Court Clerk to the Commission.



Appendix B – Initiative Summary

Establish and Administer Judicial IT Governance Con't

The following descriptions serve to elaborate on the various initiatives associated with a specific goal.

- **Advocate and implement integrated business planning methodology across all councils**
 - An integrated planning model has been proposed that blends GCAC and councils business planning activities with individual council and Judiciary-wide IT planning activities. GCAC will advocate to the councils to adopt the integrated planning methodology. This includes advocating that a judiciary-wide business plan needs to be developed by the judicial council .
- **Perform select initiatives within Judiciary-wide IT Plan**
 - In this role, GCAC will work with the planning group to prioritize judiciary-wide plan initiatives and execute against those initiatives. The proposed FY08 fiscal plan allocates funding to support the execution of certain initiatives
- **Data Definitions maintenance**
 - This initiative addresses the implementation of ongoing maintenance processes for the Data Definitions. This includes the implementation of a maintenance model that involves all classes of courts, as well as vendor and stakeholder feedback.
- **Alignment of statute with initiatives and strategy**
 - GCAC will analyze and ensure that its strategy and initiatives align with its legislative authority and role.
- **Develop judicial council and legislative advisory capacity to establish procedures and rules to implement technology review process**
 - GCAC will develop the ability to serve as a technical advisor to both the judicial council and legislature. GCAC will also assist the judicial council and legislature with defining the necessary procedures and rules to effectively implement the review process.
- **Offer audit and consulting services to counties**
 - In order to support the implementation of GCAC standards and use of GCAC artifacts, GCAC will offer services to counties on both a fee and non-fee basis. This initiatives will define and implement the services offered and under what terms.
- **Data Dictionary management tools**
 - The Data Dictionary Management Tool calls for the analysis and creation, if practical, of the Data Dictionary Management Tool. The practical creation of this tool will be driven by timing, vendors, and funding.



Appendix B – Initiative Summary

Obtain Adequate Funding to Meet Objectives

The following descriptions serve to elaborate on the various initiatives associated with a specific goal.

- **Build Legislative and Executive branch program/budget benefit Communication Plan**
 - GCAC will develop a plan to communicate both tangible and intangible benefits associated with its work and the use of its work by various stakeholders. This plan will be used to support the budget process and development of the Program Impact Summary.
- **Identify and apply for grants**
 - GCAC will identify federal or state grants that may be used to fund GCAC's work. GCAC will apply for any appropriate grants. GCAC will rely on AOC or contract personnel to support the grant identification and application processes.
- **Define accomplishment and impact/benefits to justify funding**
 - GCAC will record and analyze accomplishments and associated benefits in a manner as to tie back to the funding used to support execution of the related initiatives. This will provide necessary information to support budget requests.
- **Explore State Bar funding and support , Explore Supreme Court funding for initiatives, Explore Collaborative funding with state agencies**
 - GCAC will seek to leverage funding associated with initiatives performed by various stakeholders. GCAC will also seek opportunities to jointly create funding and justification with other agencies and organizations.



Appendix B – Initiative Summary

Communicate and Educate Internal and External Stakeholders

The following descriptions serve to elaborate on the various initiatives associated with a specific goal.

- **Build Legislative and Executive branch program/budget benefit Communication Plan**
 - GCAC will develop a plan to communicate both tangible and intangible benefits associated with its programs and the use of its work by various stakeholders.
- **Publish and Distribute whitepapers**
 - GCAC will distribute its Governance and Standards white papers through a variety of means including website, knowledge base, council meetings, publications, etc.
- **Engage Advisory Council**
 - GCAC plans to more effectively engage its Advisory Council. This will include formal presentations by the Advisory Council and GCAC. The intent is to use the Atlanta metropolitan-based GCAC meetings to better communicate and coordinate initiatives with the Advisory Council.
- **Advocate Business Strategic Planning to Judicial Council and Court Council**
 - GCAC will advocate that the councils (classes and judicial council) develop business plans to support an integrated business and IT planning methodology.
- **Increase dialog between agencies and courts (DDS,DHR, DJJ, GBI, GCIC)**
 - GCAC will seek to create structured dialog between the courts the various executive agencies through various educational (briefing, classes) and communication (newsletters, articles) activities.
- **Knowledge base expansion (wiki)**
 - GCAC will implement a GCAC branded version of its knowledge base and seek to expend its use by both commission members and stakeholders. The knowledge-base will serve as a repository of GCAC artifacts and provide a forum for stakeholder interaction.



Appendix B – Initiative Summary

Communicate and Educate Internal and External Stakeholders

The following descriptions serve to elaborate on the various initiatives associated with a specific goal.

- **Internal judiciary continuing education, External continuing education**
 - GCAC will create both formal and informal training opportunities for internal and external stakeholders. This will be in the form of GCAC-hosted classes or meetings, as well as attending meetings hosted by other stakeholders. Topics will include standards, rules and procedures, and strategic planning.
- **Advise Executive and Judicial agencies on judicial requirements/impacts of their projects**
 - GCAC will be identified as the organization responsible for defining judicial requirements to agencies or judicial stakeholders that are considering or performing projects that impact the judiciary. This will allow GCAC to serve in an advisory capacity to various executive and judicial agencies.
- **2010 platform components**
 - GCAC will examine its initiatives and the presentation of its initiatives in order to determine the most effective presentation of those initiatives in light of the 2010 gubernatorial campaign.
- **Coordinate and collaborate with GTA/CIO's**
 - GCAC will reach out to GTA to better define the technology review role that GCAC will take within the judiciary. GCAC will utilize the AOC relationship with the State CIO's to better communicate the GCAC review role to the CIO community
- **Present at CTC11 (September 2009)**
 - GCAC will seek an opportunity to present a topic at CTC11.
- **Provide forum for community of interest discussions on judicial priorities**
 - GCAC will create forums in which agencies to discuss and resolve issues that impact the agencies on a interagency basis. Forums will include formal meetings, status calls, and select training topics.